NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION SIX

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

TRAVIS ERICK THREADGILL,

Defendant and Appellant.

2d Crim. No. B226467 (Super. Ct. No. 2008049365) (Ventura County)

Travis Erick Threadgill appeals the order denying his postjudgment motion seeking additional presentence conduct credits under the amendments to Penal Code¹ section 4019 that went into effect on January 25, 2010. On June 3, 2009, appellant pleaded guilty to unlawfully taking a vehicle (Veh. Code, § 10851, subd. (a)) and receiving stolen property (§ 496, subd. (a)), and admitted serving two prior prison terms (§ 667.5, subd. (b)). He was sentenced to three years in state prison and was awarded 298 presentence custody credits, consisting of 199 days of actual custody credit and 99 days of good conduct credit under the then-current version of section 4019. Appellant did not appeal his conviction or sentence, and the judgment became final on August 3, 2009.

¹ All further undesignated statutory references are to the Penal Code.

We appointed counsel to represent appellant in this appeal. After counsel's examination of the record, he filed an opening brief in which no issues were raised.

On November 1, 2010, we sent notice to appellant's last known address (the Shafter Community Correctional Facility) advising him that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues he wished us to consider. After the notice was returned as undeliverable, we were notified that appellant is currently a parolee at large.

We have reviewed the entire record and are satisfied that appellant's attorney has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 443; *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 126.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

PERREN, J.

We concur:

GILBERT, P.J.

COFFEE, J.

Edward F. Brodie, Judge Superior Court County of Ventura

California Appellate Project, Jonathan B. Steiner, Executive Director, and Richard B. Lennon, Staff Attorney, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Appellant.

No appearance for Respondent.